



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,245	12/21/2000	Scott Lee Christopherson	ROC9-2000-0198-US1	9502
759	0 1/10/2002			
Scott A. Stinebruner Wood, Herron & Evans, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
2700 Carew Tower 441 Vine Street			DINH, TUAN T	
Cincinnati, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• 3		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/747,245	CHRISTOPHERSON ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
T'L	MAU INC DATE - CUI	Tuan T Dinh	2827	
Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this communication apappy	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
- Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. 3) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of d for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the provision of the priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuth exercised by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.	
	sponsive to communication (a) filed as 44	14 4 0000		
•	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11</u> is action is FINAL . 2b) TI			
3) Sin	ice this application is in condition for allow	his action is non-final. ance except for formal matters in	rosecution as to the morite in	
clos Disposition o	sed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	153 O.G. 213.	
	m(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	n		
	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
	m(s) is/are allowed.	William Gorisiaciation.		
	m(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
	m(s) is/are objected to.			
	n(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		
Application Pa	apers	r oloollon requirement.		
9)[] The s	pecification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The d	rawing(s) filed on <u>21 December 2000</u> is/aı	re: a) accepted or b) objected t	by the Examiner	
Арр	licant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)∏ The pr	roposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
If ap	proved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.	·	
12)∏ The oa	ath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. •		
riority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	
13) Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
	b) Some * c) None of:		. , , , ,	
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicatio	n No	
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn	ity documents have been received	I in this National Stage	
14) Acknow	attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not received		
יד 🗀 וב	ledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application)	
15) Acknow	ne translation of the foreign language prov vledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rece priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 a	ved. and/or 121.	
tachment(s)				
Notice of Draf	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Bo	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark O 0-326 (Rev. 04-01)		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 7	

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "gripping device, claims 4-6, line 1" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification is silent regarding "a gripping device, line 1".

Where is a structure which being shown a "gripping device" in any of figures of drawing?

Art Unit: 2827

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was

5. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Annis et al. (U. S. Patent 5,436,803) in view of Platt et al. (U. S. Patent 5,042,426).

As to claims 1, 7, Annis discloses a device for protecting components within an electronic system (12, column 5, line 37) from radiated electromagnetic energy (column 6, lines 21-24) during concurrent maintenance as shown in figures 1-5, the device comprising:

a sheet (10, column 5, lines 37-38) of electromagnetic shielding material sized to overlay a portion of the electronic system (12);

an opening (neck 20-figure 1 having an opening) which is a slit formed in the sheet and sized for accessing the components within the electronic system (12); and

a grounding member (18, column 5, lines 50-51) electrically coupled to the sheet and adapted to be coupled to a ground.

Annis does not teach said grounding member including a wire terminated with a clip for coupling said grounding member to ground.

Art Unit: 2827

Platt shows a grounding member (14, column 2, lines 16-17) having a wire (24, column 2, line 29) including a clip (26, column 2, line 31) for coupling the grounding member (14) to ground (GO).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a grounding member including a wire terminated with a clip for coupling a grounding member to ground as taught by Platt to employ the device of Annis in order to prevent an ESD and protect the device to be grounded when cooperated to another source.

As to claim 2, Annis discloses a device as shown in figure 1 wherein the sheet comprises a transparent material.

As to claim 3, Annis discloses a device as shown in figures 1-5 wherein the sheet comprises a flexible shielding material of a metallized polymer (column 6, lines 6-12).

As best understood to claims 4-6, Annis discloses a device wherein said grounding member (18) attached to said sheet (10) and electrically coupled to said sheet and configured to electrically couple to the electronic system.

As to claim 8, Annis discloses a device wherein as shown in figure 4 the opening is a closable flap (26, column 7, lines 15-34).

Regarding claims 9-13, the method steps are necessitated by the device structure as it is disclosed by Annis and Platt.

Art Unit: 2827

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mollet et al., Golike et al., Heldwein, and Leyland disclose related art.

Art Unit: 2827

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TD

March 25, 2002

Kluneo Onimay Examin